IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
V.)	CASE NO. 3:24-cr-434-ECM
)	[WO]
KENNETH BYRON HALSEY)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the Court is the Defendant's motion to continue trial. (Doc. 19). Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on April 7, 2025. For the reasons set forth below, the Court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the Court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs.

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." *Id.* § 3161(h)(7)(A). In

determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the Court "shall consider,"

among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely "result in a miscarriage of

justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." Id.

§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for the Defendant represents to the Court that she needs additional time to

review the voluminous discovery in this case, hire expert witnesses, and engage in plea

negotiations. (Doc. 29 at 1). The Court observes that the Defendant's current counsel was

recently appointed on or about January 27, 2025. Defense counsel further represents that the

Government does not oppose a continuance. After careful consideration, the Court finds that

the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of

the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Accordingly, and for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 19) is GRANTED, and jury selection and

trial are CONTINUED from April 7, 2025, to the criminal term of court set to commence on

May 12, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. in Opelika, Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date are

adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the

May trial term.

Done this 4th day of February, 2025.

/s/ Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE